

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/664.374 09/17/2003 Feng-Lung Gordon Hsu C6658(V) 4550 EXAMINER 201 7590 03/09/2005 UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS 700 SYLVAN AVENUE, ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **BLDG C2 SOUTH**

1751
DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		n	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/664,374	HSU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Necholus Ogden	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a lifty within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1 .
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 D</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat		6
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have beer nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	4) 🖂 Intendence	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No	s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/04. 	5) Notice of 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5 8
			<i>"</i>

Application/Control Number: 10/664,374 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

Response to Amendment

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12-06-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the polyanionic ammonium surfactants are different and better that the anionic surfactants admixed with a polyamine, according to examples 1, 5 and 13.

The examiner contends that the examples show results, which are not unexpected or commensurate in scope with the claimed invention. For example, example 1 measures the clarity of the two component, which is not considered unexpected criticality; example 5 is not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention because it comprises other ingredients not claimed and therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to surmise the criticality of the two components in question and other ingredients were varied (i.e., citrate); and example 13 shows the deposition of the fluorescent whitening agent which is again not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention given the numerous ingredients exemplified.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,374

Art Unit: 1751

3. Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP (09003483).

JP '483 discloses a detergent composition containing an anionic surfactant whose counter-ion is a diamine salt (see abstract).

As this reference teaches all of the instantly required it is considered anticipatory.

4. Claims 1, 2 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vander Meer (4,597,898).

Vander Meer discloses a laundry detergent composition comprising ethoxylated amines or polyamines having anionic groups (see col. 5 lines 5-60; claims and example III).

As this reference teaches all of the instantly required it is considered anticipatory.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 12-13-04 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 571-272-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1751

Application/Control Number: 10/664,374 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

3-2-05